

HEAD OF DELEGATION (HoD) REPORT

U.S. Member Body of the International Organization for Standardization (ISO)



U.S. National Committee of the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC)

Please return this report within one month of the completion of the international meeting and submit it to the appropriate ANSI Department as follows:

ISO USNC

ISOT@ansi.org USNC@ansi.org

HoD reports can be used for a variety of purposes. For example:

- ❖ To report results of a TC/SC meeting to the related TAG
- ❖ To publicize the work of the TC/SC to the related US constituency via ANSI On-line, USNC News and Notes, or other media
- **❖** To suggest areas for possible development of featured articles
- **❖** To address specific challenges and concerns that the HoD may bring to the attention of related ANSI and/or USNC/IEC management

PLEASE REMEMBER: Your HoD report is NOT filed as a confidential, password protected document and, therefore, may have broad, unintended distribution. Keep this in mind when preparing the report and, if appropriate, use a more secure form of correspondence to call attention to any sensitive issues.

Completed by:

Head of Delegation: (Please print)	Carin Stuart		
Telephone/Telefax:	440-835-7859		
Email:	Carina.stuart@energizer.com		
Date:	October 21, 2016		

Meeting of IEC TC 35	
Date(s) October 14, 2016	
Location Frankfurt, Germany	

1. MEETING ATTENDANCE
Please indicate, if available, both the number of delegates and the countries represented at the Meeting:
Countries represented were Germany, Japan, United States, UK, China, and Belgium. There were a total of 16 including experts plus the IEC TC 35Chair, Secretary and IEC Central Office TC officer
Meeting attendance roster and meeting resolutions attached, if available
Please comment on significant or unusual attendance issues (e.g., new member bodies, regular members not in attendance, new Chairman or Secretariat, non-accredited U.S. persons, etc.).
Two observers from Kenya were in attendance.
MEETING OBSERVATIONS
2. Overall, how well did the U.S. meet its objectives on policy or technical matters?
 Very Successful U.S. positions were accepted in whole _X_ Successful Compromises were reached which are acceptable to the U.S. _ Not Successful U.S. positions were not accepted
3. Please comment on any issues of significance which might have an impact upon materially affected or interested U.S. parties.
 A discussion was held regarding certain countries who are members of the IEC not accepting IEC CB certificates within their countries. The TC has asked for the assistance o the IEC central office TC officer to assist the committee with raising this ongoing issue to the correct people within the IEC.
4. Was there any discussion for which the United States was unprepared? (e.g., late document distribution, addition of new items, etc.)
None
5. Did the U.S. extend an offer to assume any new TC/SC Secretariat or management positions?
X Yes No (If yes, please indicate which position and provide Officer contact information.) The US extended an offer to be the project lead to a NP on the environmental impacts of batteries.
6. Did the U.S. extend an offer to host any future TC/SC meetings?
X Yes No If yes, please identify: The TC is expected to host a meeting in the Boston area in October 2017.

7.	Were any new issues raised which require, or might involve, coordination with other U.S. bodies? (Include coordination items with other U.S. TAGs, ANSI policy-level committees (AIF, AIC, the USNC TMC and/or Council, etc.)
	YesX_ No If yes, please identify:
8.	Did the U.S. put forth/agree to put forth any New Work Items?
	X Yes No If yes, please identify: IEC 60086-6 Primary Batteries – Part 6: Environmental
9.	Was there any evidence of irregular voting by participating countries?
	YesX_ No If yes, please identify any significant issues or concerns:
10.	Are work items in the TC or SC being affected by related work in regional standards bodies (e.g., CEN, CENELEC, ETSI, PASC, NAFTA, COPANT, etc.)?
	Yes _X_ No No related regional activity If yes, please explain:
11.	Were any new issues raised which require, or might involve, coordination with emerging market countries?
	Yes X_ No If yes, please explain:
12.	Were any issues raised which relate to or impact existing U.S. regulatory matters?
	Yes _X_ No If yes, please explain:
13.	Please identify any IMMEDIATE U.S. TAG actions which will be required as a result of this international meeting.
	None

14.	Please identify specific decisions which the U.S. delegation believes to be noteworthy for publication, publicity and/or development of a future article. If there are any, would you be willing to help develop an article for publication?						
	_	Yes	-	_X_	No		
15.	What might be done to further promote the ANSI Federation's goal of "global standards that reflect U.S. interests?" (Consider such issues as how might the U.S. further promote acceptance of related American National Standards in international and, where applicable, regional fora?)						
16.	Has th	is report be	en provided to	o your	TAG Administrator for US TAG distribution?		
	X	Yes	-	_	No		
17. Do you have any questions, comments, or suggestions regarding the ISO/IEC Directives, including the IEC Supplement?							
18.	Other	Comments					

May 2016